

**Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary Record**Application No.: 09 / 894,096 First Named Applicant: Perks et al.Examiner: Mofiz, A.Art Unit: 2175Status of Application: Non-finalAttorney Docket Number: AUS920010171US1Response Mailed: 08/10/2004**Tentative Participants:**(1) Examiner — Mofiz, A.(2) Joe Burwell (Signature: 

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 09/15/2004Time: 3:20 PM Eastern Time**Type of Interview**(1) ☒ Telephonic(2) ☐ Personal(3) ☐ Video Conference**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

SEP 15 2004

**Issues Discussed**

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>102(e)</u>	<u>1 etc.</u>	<u>Heistermann</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached**Summary of interview:**

Examiner Hofiz and Mr. Burwell discussed the invention and Heistermann. Examiner Hofiz opined that Heistermann still disclosed the claimed invention and the invention as a whole. Mr. Burwell opined that Heistermann did not disclose the claimed invention nor the invention as a whole.